corresponding one-electron energies of their highest filled orbitals intersect (inset, Figure 1).

Since ψ_{s^2} and ψ_{A^2} are both overall totally symmetric, the noncrossing rule dictates that they mix in a manner represented by the configuration interaction function $\bar{\psi} = c_{S^2}\psi_{S^2} + c_{A^2}\psi_{A^2}$. Denoting the bonding hybrids on carbons C_1 and C_4 by h_1 and h_4 , respectively, we have $\phi_{\rm S} = h_1^{\rm S} + h_4^{\rm S} + \dots$ and $\phi_{\rm A} = h_1^{\rm A} - h_4^{\rm A} + \dots$, which are analogous to the g and u combinations of 1sA and $1s_B$ in H_2 . The dotted terms indicate the smaller contributions to $\phi_{\rm S}$ and $\phi_{\rm A}$ from other centers in the molecule. We recall that variations in the CI coefficients as a function of R serve to alter the relative amounts of covalent and ionic character. In the limiting case where hybrids in $\phi_{\rm S}$ and $\phi_{\rm A}$ are identical and their overlap is neglected, the ratio of covalent and ionic contributions is given explicitly by $(C_{S^2} - C_{A^2})/(C_{S^2} + C_{A^2})$, and we shall employ this quantity as a rough measure of bonding character in the discussion of actual cases which follows.

Ideally, one could simultaneously obtain the orbitals for ψ_{S^2} and ψ_{A^2} , as well as the coefficients C_{S^2} and C_{A^2} , in a unified self-consistent procedure (multiconfiguration SCF). We have, however, taken the following, simpler approach. For small values of R (≤ 1.648 Å) where the SCF energies are well separated, ψ_{S^2} is taken as the SCF solution, and ψ_{A^2} is constructed from the 58-electron core of ψ_{S^2} and its virtual ϕ_A . At larger R values (≥ 1.948 Å), where the ψ_{S^2} and ψ_{A^2} SCF energies become closer, we have carried out two alternative CI calculations: first with ψ_{S^2} (SCF) and ψ_{A^2} (virtual) and then with ψ_{A^2} (SCF) and ψ_{S^2} (virtual). The validity of this essentially two-electron approach is indicated by the fact that similar CI energies (constant to within $\pm \sim 3$ kcal; see Figure 1) and coefficients are obtained from either choice for ψ_{S^2} and ψ_{A^2} .¹²

Figure 1 plots the CI energy as a function of R and clearly shows the persistence of an inner (1.54 Å) and outer minimum (2.51 Å) of similar depth, with a separating barrier at \sim 1.95 Å, whose height is \sim 29 kcal/ mol above the inner minimum. The inner well corresponds to the valence-bond structure III, in which there is 57:43 covalent to ionic bonding. The outer minimum at 2.54 Å corresponds to 97 % covalent character and is best represented in valence bond terms as the diradical



i.e., analogous to the case of H_2 at large separation, we find that CI gives proper dissociation into two weakly interacting electrons, insofar as a two particle description is valid.

The sizable barrier between roughly symmetric wells of \sim 29 kcal/mol suggests that III could be expected to have a lifetime of several hours at room temperature within the inner well.¹³ Since our calcu-

(12) CI calculations using orbitals from triplet SCF calcula-tions yield a singlet CI energy curve roughly parallel to that in Figure 1 for $R \ge 1.9$ Å and a few kilocalories lower in energy. We feel, however, that at the level of approximation adopted, the singlet SCF orbitals provide the best overall basis for studying the bridgeheadbridgehead interaction in III.

(13) The least-energy path must cross the singlet barrier, since the triplet energy is >1 eV above the singlet at $R \sim 1.95$ Å. At the crossing point, which occurs for a substantially greater value of R (~ 2.3 Å), lated barrier must be considered uncertain by at least a few kilocalories per mole, a precise estimate of the lifetime is not possible. At any rate, the barrier seems rather low for a process which is symmetry forbidden in the orbital sense,6 thus demonstrating how useful quantitative calculations can be in complementing predictions based on orbital symmetry. The stability of the inner minimum species is important, since the interconversion of the outer minimum diradical to dimethylenecyclohexane symmetry is symmetryallowed, and, though the barrier for this process is of unknown magnitude, most synthetic attempts at [2.2.2]propellane do, in fact, give this latter Grob fragmentation product.²

Acknowledgment. This work was performed under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. It was supported in part by a Grant-In-Aid to J. M. S. from the Research Corporation and by the National Science Foundation (Grant GP-29554).

the triplet must lie below the singlet, in the level of approximation used here.14

(14) J. A. Pople, Int. J. Quant. Chem., 5, 175 (1971). (15) Alfred P. Sloan Research Fellow, 1971-1973.

Marshall D. Newton*

Department of Chemistry, Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, New York 11973

Jerome M. Schulman¹⁵

Department of Chemistry, Queens College City University of New York, Flushing, New York 11367 Received January 18, 1972

A Flexible and Directed Synthesis of Azoxyalkanes¹

Sir:

Azoxyalkanes are currently of great interest. Four naturally occurring examples, macrozamin,² cycasin,³ elaiomycin,⁴ and LL-BH872 α ,⁵ exhibit potent biological properties; the initial three are carcinogenic,6 the latter possesses antifungal qualities.5,7 Recently, studies of the photolytic closure of azoxyalkanes to oxadiaziridines have appeared,8.9 and photoisomerization now makes acyclic *cis*-azoxyalkanes available.^{9,10}

(1) Alkyl Diazotates. XI. Part X: R. A. Moss and K. M. Luchter, J. Org. Chem., 37, 1155 (1972)

(2) (a) B. Lythgoe and N. V. Riggs, J. Chem. Soc., 2716 (1949); (b)
B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and N. V. Riggs, Chem. Ind. (London), 75 (1951); (c) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and N. V. Riggs, J. Chem. Soc., 2309 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 101 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and L. S. Rayner, *ibid.*, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, and K. W. Langley, B. Lythgoe, 102 (1951); (d) B. W. Langley 4191 (1952)

(3) (a) N. V. Riggs, Chem. Ind. (London), 926 (1956); (b) B. Korsch and N. V. Riggs, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 523 (1964); (c) H. Matsumoto, T. Nagahama, and H. O. Larson, *Biochem. J.*, **95**, 13c (1965).

(4) (a) T. H. Haskell, A. Ryder, and Q. R. Bartz, Antibiot. Chemother. (Washington, D. C.), 4, 141 (1954); (b) C. L. Stevens, B. T. Gillis, J. C. French, and T. H. Haskell, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 78, 3229 (1956); (c) ibid., 80, 6088 (1958); (d) C. L. Stevens, B. T. Gillis, and T. H. Haskell, ibid., 81, 1435 (1959)

(5) (a) W. J. McGahren and M. P. Kunstmann, ibid., 91, 2808 (1969); (b) ibid., 92, 1587 (1970).

(6) R. Preussmann, H. Druckrey, S. Ivankovic, and A. v. Hodenberg, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., 163, 697 (1969); M. Spatz, *ibid.*, 163, 848 (1969); R. Schoental, Nature (London), 221, 765 (1969); D. W. E. Smith, Science, 152, 1273 (1966).

(7) Elaiomycin has in vitro activity against M. tuberculosis: A. G.

Karlson, Antibiot. Chemother. (Washington, D.C.), 12, 446 (1962).
(8) (a) S. S. Hecht and F. D. Greene, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 6761 (1967);
(b) F. D. Greene and S. S. Hecht, J. Org. Chem., 35, 2482 (1970).

(9) (a) J. Swigert and K. G. Taylor, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 7337 (1971); (b) K. G. Taylor and T. Riehl, ibid., 94, 250 (1972).

(10) Oxidation of cis-azoalkanes can also be used.9ª Previous reports of ciz-azoxyalkanes are discussed by Woodward and Wintner.¹¹ Cyclic

Table I. Azoxyalkanes, RN = N(O)R', from Alkylation (R'X) of Alkane Diazotates ($RN = NO^{-}K^{+}$)

Compd	R	R′	Method ^a	Yield, $\%$	Ir, cm ^{-1c}	Uv, nm ^d	Nmr, δ ^ε
1	<i>n</i> -C ₈ H ₁₇	C ₂ H ₅	A, B	48,44	1505, 1320	217.5(3.87) 280.0(1.71)	3.32 t (7), 4.12 q (7)
2	<i>n</i> -C ₈ H ₁₇	$n-C_4H_9$	В	46	1510, 1320	217.5(3.88) 285.0(1.83)	3.30 t (7), 4.07 t (7)
3 4	$n-C_8H_{17}$ sec-C ₄ H ₉	sec-C₄H ₉ C₂H₃	\mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}^{f}	32 48	1510, 1320 1500, 1320	$\begin{array}{c} 222.5 & (3.80) \\ 220.0 & (3.72) \\ 280.0 & (1.62) \end{array}$	3.27 t (7), 4.14 sextet (7) \sim 3.9 sextet (6), 4.07 q (7) ^g
5	sec-C ₈ H ₁₇	C_2H_5	А	64	1495, 1310	221.5 (3.85) 270.0 (2.68)	h, 4.08 q (7)
6 7 8 9	C ₆ H ₅ CHCH ₃ C ₆ H ₅ CHCH ₃ C ₆ H ₅ CHCH ₃ <i>t</i> -C ₈ H ₁₇	$C_{2}H_{3}$ n-C ₄ H ₉ <i>i</i> -C ₃ H ₇ C ₂ H ₅	A,' B B B ^k A	46, 28 33 36 4 ^m	1490, 1320 1505, 1330 1490, 1292 1505, 1310	$208.5^{i}(4.19)$ <i>j j</i> 224.0 (3.77) 280.0 (1.58)	5.00 q (7), 4.09 q (7) ^{σ} 5.06 q (7), 4.10 t (7) 5.03 q (7), 4.23 m (7) ^{l} None, 4.07 q (7)

^a See text. ^b Yields, based on N-alkyl-N-nitrosourethane diazotate precursors, were determined by gc. ^c Neat liquid. The characteristic azoxy bands^{2d} are listed. ^d Recorded as λ_{max} (log ϵ), λ_{infl} (log ϵ).^{2d} Solvents: **6**, cyclohexane; others, ethanol. ^e Reported are the α protons (R) and the α' protons (R') determined on CCl₄ solutions. Other signals and integrals were in accord with structures. Fine splitting, often observed in α and α' resonances, could reflect through-azoxy coupling.^{3b} Accidentally degenerate upfield signals of 6 and 8 (CCl_4) were resolved in C₆H₆. A modest (±0.05 ppm) concentration dependence was observed in the spectra of 6 (CCl₄). J values, in hertz, are in parentheses. f Reference 20. g See ref 20 for other signals. h The α proton at \sim 4.0 was obscured by the α' quartet. f Phenyl absortion buries the azoxy absorption. f Not determined. $h = -C_3H_7B_7$. f The isopropyl methyls are nonequivalent (nmr in benzene) but only a doublet is observed in CCl₄. ^m Major product was 2,4,4-trimethylpentene-1 (23%).

Given this abundance of concern and accomplishment, it is striking that there exists no general synthesis of unsymmetrical azoxyalkanes.14 We consider that such a synthesis must be (1) *flexible*, or applicable to a wide variety of unsymmetrical azoxyalkanes; (2) *directed*, affording a single, structurally predictable azoxyalkane; (3) proceed from readily available starting materials; and (4) accommodate constraints on chirality at the α and α' carbon atoms.

Syntheses¹⁴ in which the ultimate precursors or intermediates possess linked nitrogens of identical oxidation states do not generally satisfy requirements 1 and 2 (e.g., the oxidation of azoalkanes, and the reduction of C-nitrosoalkane dimers¹⁴). The condensation of Cnitrosoalkanes with N-alkylhydroxylamines has been largely restricted to tert-15 or perfluoroalkyl-16 Cnitrosoalkanes, and examples from the aromatic series¹⁷ suggest that N-symmetrized intermediates can intervene, leading to a violation of 2. Similar objections apply to the reduction of nitro compounds, and the oxidation of amines or hydroxylamines, which presumably involve related intermediates. Finally, such methods as the reaction of Grignards with azoxy tosyl-

(14) For a survey: S. R. Sandler and W. Karo, "Organic Func-tional Group Preparations," Vol. II, Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1971. Chapter 15.

(15) (a) J. P. Freeman, J. Org. Chem., 28, 2508 (1963); (b) J. G. Aston and D. M. Jenkins, Nature (London), 167, 863 (1951), and references therein.

(16) V. A. Ginsburg, L. L. Martykova, N. F. Privezentseva, and Z. A Buchek, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 38, 2505 (1968); D. A. Barr and R. N. Haszeldine, J. Chem. Soc., 3416 (1956).

(17) L. A. Neiman, V. A. Maimind, and M. M. Shemyakin, Tetra-*Chem. Soc.*, **87**, 122 (1965); G. A. Russell and E. J. Geels, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **87**, 122 (1965); S. Oae, T. Fukumoto, and M. Yamagami, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap.*, **36**, 728 (1963); Y. Ogata, M. Tsuchida, and Y. Takagi, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **79**, 3397 (1957). ates18 and azoxy fluorides19 do not afford purely aliphatic azoxy compounds.

Now we report that the alkylation of alkane diazotates to give unsymmetrical azoxyalkanes²⁰ is capable of broad generalization, and that the flexibility, directedness, and simplicity of the generalized process approach the above requirements for a general azoxyalkane synthesis.

The basic reactions are shown in eq 1 and 2, and the

$$R = N = N + (C_{2}H_{3})_{3}O^{+}BF_{4}^{-} \xrightarrow{CH_{2}Cl_{2}} R = N + KBF_{4} \quad (1)$$

$$R = N + R'I \xrightarrow{[(CH_{3})_{2}N]_{3}PO} R = N + KI \quad (2)$$

$$R' = N + R'I \xrightarrow{[(CH_{3})_{2}N]_{3}PO} N = N + KI \quad (2)$$

azoxyalkanes synthesized accordingly are collected in Table I.²² The alkane diazotates were prepared from aminoalkanes,23 and allowed to react with Meerwein's reagent as CH₂Cl₂ suspensions, or with alkyl halides as hexamethylphosphoric triamide solutions. Experiments with the latter method suggest that iodide is the halide of choice, and that 7 hr at 40° constitute good, if not optimal, reaction parameters.

Azoxyalkanes were purified by gc. Their identity follows from analyses, mass spectra (M^+ or $M^+ - 17$), and characteristic ir,^{2d} uv,^{2d} and nmr spectra.^{3b,8b,9a,15a} The latter also fix the location of the oxygen atom.^{15a}

The major competing process in reactions 1 and 2 is O-alkylation, followed by nitrogen loss, and the formation of carbonium ion products, such as ethers and ole-

- (18) T. E. Stevens, J. Org. Chem., 32, 1641 (1967); 29, 311 (1964).
 (19) T. E. Stevens and J. P. Freeman, *ibid.*, 29, 2279 (1964).
- (20) R. A. Moss and M. J. Landon, Tetrahedron Lett., 3897 (1969).21 (21) For an application: W. J. McGahren and M. P. Kunstmann,
- J. Org. Chem., 37, 902 (1972).
 - (22) Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained.
 - (23) R. A. Moss, J. Org. Chem., 31, 1082 (1966).

cis-azoxyalkanes are known.12 The photoisomerization techniques derive from studies in the aromatic series.13

⁽¹¹⁾ R. B. Woodward and C. Wintner, Tetrahedron Lett., 2693 (1969).

⁽¹²⁾ J. P. Freeman, J. Org. Chem., 27, 2881, 1309 (1962); F. D. Greene and S. S. Hecht, Tetrahedron Lett., 575 (1969); V. T. Bandurco and J. P. Snyder, *ibid.*, 4643 (1969); J. P. Snyder, L. Lee, and D. G.

Farnum, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 3816 (1971). (13) D. L. Webb and H. H. Jaffé, ibid., 86, 2419 (1964); Tetrahedron

Lett., 1875 (1964).

fins.²⁴ The yield of azoxyalkane is thus limited, but variation of reaction conditions may permit augmented N-alkylation and better yields. Note that alkylation of the diazotates' other nitrogen (yielding N-alkyl-Nnitrosoamines) is a minor process. Synthesis of the authentic N-nitrosoamines, followed by gc analysis of the crude reaction mixtures accompanying 1 and 6, limit N-nitrosoalkane formation to less than 5%.

By uv^{9a,b} and nmr,^{9,12} our azoxyalkanes are trans (see eq 1 and 2).²⁵ Because the diazotates from which they come are probably of syn configuration,26 reactions 1 and 2 could lead directly to *trans*-azoxyalkanes by nucleophilic attack of the diazotate on RX, with retention of the N=N configuration.

The new axoxyalkane synthesis meets generality requirements 1-3. Our synthesis of (S)-4 from (S)butane-2-diazotate (method 1) shows that it can partly meet the chirality requirement, 4. The CD spectrum of (S)-4 closely resembled those of (S)-ONN-1cyclohexylazoxyethane²¹ and elaiomycin.^{5b} We are studying stereospecific synthetic routes to azoxyalkanes in thich the chiral center is bonded at the =N(O) position.

Our synthesis generates primary and secondary trans-azoxyalkanes of varied structure. Superior syntheses of *tert*-azoxyalkanes¹⁵ complement our work. Coupled with photoisomerization,^{8,9} we can gain access to new O-position isomers, cis-azoxyalkanes, and oxadiaziridines as well. The potential for the synthesis of naturally occurring azoxyalkanes is patent, and we are exploring such possibilities.

Acknowledgments. We thank the National Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health for financial support. R. A. M. thanks the Massachusetts Institute of Technology for its hospitality.

(24) R. A. Moss and M. J. Landon, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 5755 (1970).

(25) This is true for 4 and 6 whether their isolation involves gc or tlc.20

(26) E. H. White, T. J. Ryan, and K. W. Field, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 1360 (1972).

(27) Alfred P. Sloan Fellow, National Institutes of Health Special Postdoctoral Fellow.

(28) American Cyanamid Co. Educational Awardee.

Robert A. Moss,* 27 Mildred J. Landon²⁸ Karen M. Luchter, Andrew Mamantov Wright Laboratory, School of Chemistry Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903 Received April 7, 1972

Geometry of Nickel(II) Complexes

Sir:

Nickel(II) forms many complexes with octahedral, square-planar, and tetrahedral geometries and a smaller number of five-coordinate compounds1 with other stereochemical arrangements. It is generally considered that a combination of steric and electronic factors determines which of the three common geometries is assumed by a given compound. Steric factors clearly operate in the sense that bulky ligands are most favorable to tetrahedral geometry and least favorable to octahedral geometry. The mode of operation of the electronic effects is much less clear in spite of ex-

(1) E. L. Muetterties and R. A. Schunn, Quart. Rev., Chem. Soc., 20, 245 (1966).

Journal of the American Chemical Society | 94:12 | June 14, 1972

tensive discussion of the subject.²⁻⁴ We wish to present some new data on the structure of thiourea (tu) complexes of nickel(II) in solution and to suggest a simple rationale for their geometries.

Measurements of visible spectra, magnetic moments, conductivity, and molecular weights of nickel(II) complexes of thiourea and substituted thiourea at room temperature have been reported^{2,5} and have provided evidence for all three geometries. We have undertaken nmr and other studies of a number of these complexes over a wide range of temperature primarily to elucidate ligand exchange mechanisms. We report here data on four complexes chosen to illustrate the geometric possibilities. $Ni(tu)_6(ClO_4)_2$ gives conducting solutions in acetone and has a magnetic moment ranging from 2.3 $(+27^{\circ})$ to 3.2 BM (-90°) , and the nmr spectrum indicates fast ligand exchange involving a paramagnetic complex at room temperature. In the presence of excess ligand the exchange is barely frozen out at -90° . (The dimethylthiourea complex is similar but the ligand exchange is slow at -90° giving complexed resonances at -101.4, -19.9, and -12.1 ppm from TMS.) All data are consistent with octahedral $Ni(tu)_{6}^{2+}$ being the only species present at -90° with some dissociation to square-planar $Ni(tu)_4^{2+}$ at higher temperatures. Solutions of Ni(tu)₄Cl₂ in acetone are nonconducting at all temperatures and the magnetic moment varies from 3.5 $(+27^{\circ})$ to 3.8 BM (-90°) . The nmr of this complex shows fast exchange with dissociated ligand at $+27^{\circ}$, but at -90° one of the two complexed tu protons (chemical shift -104.8 ppm) which result from restricted rotation about the C-N bond is no longer exchanging with free ligand. Free ligand and the second complexed ligand proton give an averaged resonance at -11.3ppm. The species present is tetrahedral $Ni(tu)_2Cl_2$ at all temperatures. Ni(tu)6Br2 in acetone has a moment varying from 3.6 BM at $+27^{\circ}$ to 1.2 BM at -94° . It has a small conductivity at room temperature which increases at low temperature. The nmr spectrum at -90° has four resonances. Two show contact shifts (-110.3 and -20.2 ppm) and are attributed to tetrahedral Ni(tu)₂Br₂. One arises from free ligand (-8.9ppm) and the fourth at -9.3 ppm is assigned to a diamagnetic complex. This latter compound must be ionic since its formation is accompanied by an increase in conductivity. The concentration of the analogous iodide complex is decreased by addition of $(CH_3)_4NI$ (experiment carried out at -50° in the fast exchange region). On the other hand it cannot be $Ni(tu)_4^{2+}$ since at -90° in the presence of excess ligand this species is completely converted to Ni(tu)62+. It must therefore be square-planar Ni(tu)₃Br⁺.⁶ Ni(tu)₆I₂ has a

(2) S. L. Holt and R. L. Carlin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 86, 3017 (1964).

(3) L. Venanzi, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 8, 137 (1958).

(4) L. Sacconi, Transition Metal Chem., 4, 199 (1968).
(5) S. Basso, J. A. Costamagna, and R. Levitus, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 31, 1797 (1969).

(6) A referee has suggested that the species formulated as $Ni(tu)_{4}^{2+}$ or $Ni(tu)_{3}X^{+}$ may be diamagnetic, five-coordinated $Ni(tu)_{3}^{2+}$ or $Ni(tu)_{4}X^{+}$. We consider these assignments less likely for several reasons. At room temperature optical spectra consistent with square-planar Ni(tu)+2+ have been reported. The susceptibility and nmr data show that diamagnetic Ni(tu)52+ is not formed at low temperatures in the perchlorate "diamagnetic complex" nmr peaks agree better with the ratios expected for $Ni(tu)_{3}X^{+}$ than they do for $Ni(tu)_{4}X^{+}$. We also find that this complex exchanges ligands by an associative process. A dissociative mechanism might have been expected for a five-coordinate compound. Although not conclusive these arguments incline us against postulating five-coordinate complexes.